### Rumsfeld's "I Stand" Note

| SECDEF DECISION Approved Other                         |
|--|
| Attachments As stated However, I Strand for 8-10 hours |
| oc: CICS, USD(P) A day. Why is standy land to Y hoirs? |
| iffied Under Authority of Executive Order 12958        |

Dec 2, 2002 - Memo from the Department of Defense summarizing approved methods of interrogation, with annotation from Former Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld

Secretary of Defense 1000 Defense Pentagon

Washington, DC 20301-1000

Jan 19 2002

UNGL

# MEMORANDUM FOR CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

SUBJECT: Status of Taliban and Al Qaeda

(U) Transmit the following to the Combatant Commanders:

(U) The United States has determined that Al Qaeda and Taliban individuals under the control of the Department of Defense are not entitled to prisoner of war status for purposes of the Geneva Conventions of 1949.

(U) The Combatant Commanders shall, in detaining Al Qaeda and Taliban individuals under the control of the Department of Defense, treat them humanely and, to the extent appropriate and consistent with military necessity, in a manner consistent with the principles of the Geneva Conventions of 1949.

(U) The Combatant Commanders shall transmit this order to subordinate commanders, including Commander, Joint Task Force 160, for implementation.

(U) Keep me appropriately informed of the implementation of this order.

Classified by : SecDef

Reason: 1.5(d)

Declassify on: 01/19/12

DECLASSIFIED ON 4 FEB 2002 PER DOD GENERAL COUNCIL MEMO

Please Notify All Recipients to downgrade this

Memorandum to Unclassified



publimeal Admilegal niquimestal and the second tortuinter under tex p

lees

of a

and

prese

\_ (. \_ (P).

PM)

of ues

at I D

his

y

.... ?

Page 1012 204030-05

## UNCLASSIFIED

#### SECRET/NOFORN

GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE 1000 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D. C., 20301-1000

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

November 27, 2002 (1:00 PM)

DEPSEC \_\_\_\_

#### **ACTION MEMO**

FOR:

SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM:

William J. Haynes II, General Counsel

SUBJECT:

Counter-Resistance Techniques

- The Commander of USSOUTHCOM has forwarded a request by the Commander of Joint Task Force 170 (now JTF GTMO) for approval of counter-resistance techniques to aid in the interrogation of detainees at Guantanamo Bay (Tab A).
- The request contains three categories of counter-resistance techniques, with the first category the least aggressive and the third category the most aggressive (Tab B).
- I have discussed this with the Deputy, Doug Feith and General Myers. I believe that all join in my recommendation that, as a matter of policy, you authorize the Commander of USSOUTHCOM to employ, in his discretion, only Categories I and II and the fourth technique listed in Category III ("Use of mild, non-injurious physical contact such as grabbing, poking in the chest with the finger, and light pushing").
- While all Category III techniques may be legally available, we believe that, as a matter
  of policy, a blanket approval of Category III techniques is not warranted at this time.
  Our Armed Forces are trained to a standard of interrogation that reflects a tradition of
  restraint.

RECOMMENDATION: That SECDEF approve the USSOUTHCOM Commander's use of those counter-resistance techniques listed in Categories I and II and the fourth technique listed in Category III during the interrogation of detainees at Guantanamo Bay.

#### SECDEF DECISION

Approved signed by Donald Rumsfield Disapproved \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_ handwritten note: However, I stand for 8–10 hours a day. Why is standing limited to 4 hours? D. R.

Attachments

As stated

cc: CJCS, USD(P)

Declassified Under Authority of Executive Order 12958 By Executive Secretary, Office of the Secretary of Defense William P. Marrion, CAPT. USN
June 18, 2004

2,37

# UNCLASSIFIED SECRET/NOFORN

THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 1000 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000 APR 16 2003

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE COMMANDER, US SOUTHERN COMMAND

SUBJECT: Counter-Resistance Techniques in the War on Terrorism (S)

(S/NF) (U) I have considered the report of the Working Group that I directed be established on January 15, 2003.

(S/NF) (U) I approve the use of specified counter-resistance techniques, subject to the following:

(U) a. The techniques I authorize are those lettered A-X, set out at Tab A.

(U) b. These techniques must be used with all the safeguards described at Tab B.

(S) (U) c. Use of these techniques is limited to interrogations of unlawful combatants held at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

(S) (U) d. Prior to the use of these techniques, the Chairman of the Working Group on Detainee Interrogations in the Global War on Terrorism must brief you and your staff.

(S/NF) (U) I reiterate that US Armed Forces shall continue to treat detainees humanely and, to the extent appropriate and consistent with military necessity, in a manner consistent with the principles of the Geneva Conventions. In addition, if you intend to use techniques B, I, O, or X, you must specifically determine that military necessity requires its use and notify me in advance.

(S/NF) (U) If, in your view, you require additional interrogation techniques for a particular detainee, you should provide me, via the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, a written request describing the proposed technique, recommended safeguards, and the rationale for applying it with an identified detainee.

(S/NF) (U) Nothing in this memorandum in any way restricts your existing authority to maintain good order and discipline among detainees.

Attachments:

As stated

Declassified Under Authority of Executive Order 12958 By Executive Secretary, Office of the Secretary of Defense William P. Marriott, CAPT, USN June 18, 2004 [Signed Donald Rumsfeld]

Classified By: Secretary of Defense

Reason: 1.5(a)

Declassify On: 2 April 2013

UNCLASSIFIED SECRET/NOFORN

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

## TAB A

(S/NF) (U) The use of techniques A-X is subject to the general safeguards as provided below as well as specific implementation guidelines to be provided by the appropriate authority. Specific implementation guidance with respect to techniques A-Q is provided in Army Field Manual 34–52. Further implementation guidance with respect to techniques R-X will need to be developed by the appropriate authority.

INTERROGATION TECHNIQUES

(SANF) (U) Of the techniques set forth below, the policy aspects of certain techniques should be considered to the extent those policy aspects reflect the views of other major U.S. partner nations. Where applicable, the description of the technique is annotated to include a summary of the policy issues that should be considered before application of the technique.

A. (S/NF) (U) Direct: Asking straightforward questions.

B. (S/AFF) (U) Incentive/Removal of Incentive: Providing a reward or removing a privilege, above and beyond those that are required by the Geneva Convention, from detainees. [Caution: Other nations that believe that detainees are entitled to POW protections may consider that provision and retention of religious items (e.g., the Koran) are protected under international law (see, Geneva III, Article 34). Although the provisions of the Geneva Convention are not applicable to the interrogation of unlawful combatants, consideration should be given to these views prior to application of the technique.]

C. (SAP) (U) Emotional Love: Playing on the love a detainee has for an individual or group.

D. (S/NF) (U) Emotional Hate: Playing on the hatred a detainee has for an individual or group.

E. (S/NF) (U) Fear Up Harsh: Significantly increasing the fear level in a detainee.

F. (S/NF) (U) Fear Up Mild: Moderately increasing the fear level in a detainee.

G. (S/NF) (U) Reduced Fear: Reducing the fear level in a detainee.

H. (SAVF) (U) Pride and Ego Up: Boosting the ego of a detainee.

Classified By:Secretary of Defense Reason:1.5(a) Declassify On:2 April 2013

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

:OMMAND

rorism (S)

rup that I directed be

techniques, subject to

out at Tab A.
s described at Tab B.
s of unlawful combat-

of the Working Group 1st brief you and your

to treat detainees hunilitary necessity, in a ons. In addition, if you etermine that military

ation techniques for a of the Joint Chiefs of mmended safeguards,

s your existing author-

ned Donald Rumsfeld]

y: Secretary of Defense (a)

n: 2 April 2013

I. (S/NF) (U) Pride and Ego Down: Attacking or insulting the ego of a detainee, not beyond the limits that would apply to a POW. [Caution: Article 17 of Geneva III provides, "Prisoners of war who refuse to answer may not be threatened, insulted, or exposed to any unpleasant or disadvantageous treatment of any kind." Other nations that believe that detainees are entitled to POW protections may consider this technique inconsistent with the provisions of Geneva. Although the provisions of Geneva are not applicable to the interrogation of unlawful combatants, consideration should be given to these views prior to application of the technique.]

J. (S/NF) (U) Futility: Invoking the feeling of futility of a detainee.

K. (S/NF) (U) We Know All: Convincing the detainee that the interrogator knows the answer to questions he asks the detainee.

L. (S/NF) (U) Establish Your Identity: Convincing the detainee that the interrogator has mistaken the detainee for someone else.

M. (S/NF) (U) Repetition Approach: Continuously repeating the same question to the detainee within interrogation periods of normal duration.

N. (S/NF) (U) File and Dossier: Convincing detainee that the interrogator has a damning and inaccurate file, which must be fixed.

O. (S/NF) (U) Mutt and Jeff: A team consisting of a friendly and harsh interrogator. The harsh interrogator might employ the Pride and Ego Down technique. [Caution: Other nations that believe that POW protections apply to detainees may view this technique as inconsistent with Geneva III, Article 13 which provides that POWs must be protected against acts of intimidation. Although the provisions of Geneva are not applicable to the interrogation of unlawful combatants, consideration should be given to these views prior to application of the technique.]

P. (SANF) (U) Rapid Fire: Questioning in rapid succession without allowing detained to answer.

Q. (SAFF) (U) Silence: Staring at the detainee to encourage discomfort.

R. (S/NF) (U) Change of Scenery Up: Removing the detainee from the standard interrogation setting (generally to a location more pleasant, but no worse).

S. (S/NF) (U) Change of Scenery Down: Removing the detainee from the standard interrogation setting and placing him in a setting that may be less comfortable; would not constitute a substantial change in environmental quality.

T. (S/NF) (U) Dietary Manipulation: Changing the diet of a detainee; no intended deprivation of food or water; no adverse medical or cultural effect and without intent to deprive subject of food or water, e.g., hot rations to MREs.

U. (S/NF) (U) Environmental Manipulation: Altering the environment to create moderate discomfort (e.g., adjusting temperature or introducing an unpleasant smell). Conditions would not be such that they would injure the detainee. Detainee would be accompanied by interrogator at all times. [Caution: Based on court cases in other countries, some nations may view application of this technique in certain circumstances to be inhumane. Consideration of these views should be given prior to use of this technique.]

V. (S/NF) (U) Sleep Adjustment: Adjusting the sleeping times of the detainee (e.g., reversing sleep cycles from night to day.) This technique is NOT sleep deprivation.

W. (S/NF) (U) False Flag: Convincing the detainee that individuals from a country other than the United States are interrogating him.

X. (S/NF) (U) Isolation: Isolating the detainee from other detainees while still complying with basic standards of treatment. [Caution: The use of isolation as an

esistance Techniques

he ego of a detainee, icle 17 of Geneva III reatened, insulted, or kind," Other nations msider this technique ons of Geneva are not ation should be given

inee,

e interrogator knows

that the interrogator

the same question to

he interrogator has a

id harsh interrogator. technique. [Caution: ainees may view this rides that POWs must ms of Genevà are not ration should be given

tout allowing detainee

liscomfort.
ee from the standard
t no worse).
nee from the standard

detainee; no intended ect and without intent

ss comfortable; would

environment to create ; an unpleasant smell). ee. Detainee would be a court cases in other ue in certain circume given prior to use of

s of the detainee (e.g., I sleep deprivation, iduals from a country

r detainees while still use of isolation as an

interrogation technique requires detailed implementation instructions, including specific guidelines regarding the length of isolation, medical and psychological review, and approval for extensions of the length of isolation by the appropriate level in the chain of command. This technique is not known to have been generally used for interrogation purposes for longer than 30 days. Those nations that believe detainees are subject to POW protections may view use of this technique as inconsistent with the requirements of Geneva III, Article 13 which provides that POWs must be protected against acts of intimidation; Article 14, which provides that POWs are entitled to respect for their person; Article 34 which prohibits coercion and Article 126 which ensures access and basic standards of treatment. Although the provisions of Geneva are not applicable to the interrogation of unlawful combatants, consideration should be given to these views prior to application of the technique.]